

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,070	07/31/2003	Robert J. Maki	58095US002	2056
32692	7590 06/08/2005		EXAM	INER
	ATIVE PROPERTIE	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,070	MAKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander Thomas	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) daysed if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a region.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT as statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un</li> </ol>	This action is non-final.  Ilowance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-41</u> is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-18,42 and 43</u> is/are rejection 15/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county of the oath or declaration is objected to by the county of the oath or declaration is objected.	accepted or b) objected to b to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
AM-ah-a-wal		
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of Preferences Cited (* PO-032)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	48) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/632,070 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 5/25/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the tear patterns cannot be formed in the cover web after lamination because the elastic filaments may be severed. This is not found persuasive because if the perforations are formed carefully it is possible to form cuts in the cover webs without severing the elastic filaments.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### **Double Patenting**

2. Claims 1-12, 14-18, 42 and 43 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-38 of copending Application No. 10/962,798 in view of Swanson et al and 95/06449. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record. Concerning claims 42 and 43, the primary reference's article has continuously extending elastic filaments, and embossing this article as suggested in Swansen et al would not sever the elastic filaments.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,070 Page 3

**Art Unit: 1772** 

4. Claims 1-4, 7-12 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 95/06449. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicants argue that elastic filaments in the reference's product are severed when perforations are formed and, therefore, the filaments do not extend "continuously over the entire length of the article" as recited in claims 1 and 18. However, there will be elastic filaments in the unperforated areas that are not severed and these filaments would extend continuously along the length of the article. Also, claims 1 and 18, by virtue of the term "comprising", do not require that all of the elastic filaments extend continuously along the length of the article.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 95/06449. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record and for the same reasons as set forth above in paragraph 4.
- 7. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 14, 16-18, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al in view of Swanson et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicants argue that providing

Application/Control Number: 10/632,070

Art Unit: 1772

tear lines in the support bandage of Hansen et al would be disadvantageous because the tear lines would weaken the bandage and, therefore there is no motivation to provide tear lines in the Hansen et al product. However, this argument is not persuasive because Swansen et al teach that their tear line structure provides a strong product with acceptable tensile strength that may be used throughout the health-care and athletics fields; see lines 5-10 of the Abstract and column 16, lines 36-56.

Therefore, providing the primary reference's product with the tear line structure of the secondary reference would not result in a product unsuitable for is intended use, namely as a support bandage. Concerning claims 42 and 43, the primary reference's article has continuously extending elastic filaments, and embossing this article as suggested by Swansen et al would not sever the elastic filaments.

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 13 is allowed.

### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,070 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER